

The Missing Motivation in Macroeconomics

ISEO

June 24, 2010

Thanks to Rachel Kranton.

I. Introduction

- **Macroeconomics changed.**
- **Objection to casual ways.**
- **Derivation from economic principles.**

Five Neutralities

- Independence of consumption and current income (given wealth).
- Modigliani-Miller theorem.
- Natural rate theory.
- Inability to stabilize output with rational expectations.
- Ricardian equivalence.

Consequences of Neutralities

- **Neutralities fly in face of Keynesian economics.**
- **Anti-Keynesian conclusions:
Previously unsuspected.**

Reaction to Neutralities

- **New Classical Economists:**
- **A Tell-Tale:**
 - **Keynesian economists:**
Wrong thinking.
 - **Scientific reasoning:**
More precise economics.

Reaction of Keynesians

- **New Keynesians:**
 - **Acceptance of utility/profit maximization for microfoundations.**
 - **View the neutralities as having generality.**

Generality of Neutralities

- Neutralities describe equilibria of competitive economies with complete information irrespective of preferences:
 - *As long as those preferences correspond to economists' typical descriptions of them.*

New Keynesians Add Frictions

- **Credit constraints.**
- **Market imperfections.**
- **Information failures.**
- **Tax distortions.**
- **Staggered contracts.**
- **Uncertainty.**
- **Bounded rationality.**

New Stance

- **Derive behavior from utility and profit maximization.**
 - **Captures *purposefulness*.**
- **Question the generality of the preferences that lead to the five neutralities.**

Preferences Narrowly Defined

- **Missing motivation:**
 - Fail to incorporate norms of decision-makers.
- **Norms:**
 - How they and others *should* behave.

Norms: Violation of Neutralities

- **Consumption dependent on current income.**
- **Investment dependent on cash flow.**
- **Wages and prices dependent on nominal considerations.**
- **Income and employment dependent on systematic monetary policy.**
- **Consumption dependent on social security.**

Bias in favor of Keynesians

- **Keynesians:**
 - Theory based on observations of motivations.
- **Neoclassical methodology:**
 - Cannot pick up differences between real behavior and behavior derived from abstract preferences.

Exogeneity of Norms

- Norms assumed to be exogenous.
- Standard method in economics.
- Withhold doubts until the end.
- Endogeneity of norms will rarely nullify conclusions drawn here.

Outline of Lecture

- I. Introduction.
- II. The Missing Motivation.
- III. Ricardian Equivalence.
- IV. Consumption.
- V. Investment.
- VI. Natural Rate Hypothesis.
- VII. Rational Expectations.
- VIII. Methodology.
- IX. Conclusion.

II. Norms: The Missing Motivation

**Have already discussed the
missing motivation.**

III. Ricardian Equivalence

- **Standard Model.**

- **Parent's utility:**

$$U_1(c_1, U_2(c_2)).$$

- **Child's utility:**

$$U_2(c_2).$$

Reasons for failure of Ricardian equivalence

- (1) infinite horizons.
- (2) strategic bequests.
- (3) childless families.
- (4) uncertainty.
- (5) differential borrowing rates
- (6) growth in excess of the interest rate.
- (7) lack of foresight about future taxes.
- (8) foreign ownership of debt.
- (9) tax distortions.
- (10) no bequests.

Ricardian Equivalence as Tell-Tale

- *Theoretical* challenge.
- Unsuspected by economists.
- Two possible conclusions:
 - Realignment of macro.
 - Missing motivation.

Bequest as Gift

- **Andreoni.**
- **Bequest: type of gift.**
- **Social security transfer:**
 - **More money is parent's.**
 - **Greater gift to child.**

Warm Glow and Norms

- Gift gives “warm glow.”
- Why?
- Parent thinks she is doing what she *should* be doing.
- Norms for bequests.
- Historic change in nature of bequests.

IV & V. Consumption & Investment

- **Relative to Life Cycle Hypothesis:**
 - **Excess dependence of consumption on current income.**
- **Relative to Modigliani-Miller:**
 - **Excess dependence of investment on cash flow.**

VI. Natural Rate Theory

- **Description of reality.**
- **Acceptance:**
 - **Theoretical:**
 - **Care only about real outcomes.**
 - **Empirical:**
 - **Failure to reject.**

Excess Sensitivity in Wages

- **Natural rate theory:**
 - Only real considerations.
- **Excess sensitivity:**
 - *Nominal* considerations affect real wage setting.

Starkest Example

- **Money wage rigidity:**
 - **Bunching in wage change distributions:**
 - Australia.
 - Canada.
 - Germany.
 - Japan.
 - Mexico.
 - New Zealand.
 - Switzerland.
 - United Kingdom.
 - United States.

Great Depression

- **Absence of deflationary spirals.**
- **Explained by money wage rigidity.**

Norms: Explanation for Sticky Money Wages

- **Money wage rigidity:**
 - Impossible to explain with only real considerations.
- **Amendment to standard model:**
 - *Norm* for wages.
 - Employees lose utility from money wage decline.
- **Direct evidence:**
 - Bewley interviews.

Violation of Natural Rate Theory

- **Acceptance of money wage rigidity.**
- **Broader implications of such violation.**
- **Money wage rigidity:**
 - **Because workers have a norm.**
- **At *least* one clear violation of natural rate theory.**

Tip of Iceberg

- **Further empirical possibility:**

If there is *one* way in which nominal wages enter utility functions because of norms regarding wages, there could be *many other ways*.

Norms for Wage Increases

	Raise	Inflation
Barbara:	5 %	4 %
Ann:	2 %	0 %

- **79 %: Barbara *worse off* economically.**
- **64 %: Barbara *happier*.**
- **Explanation: Norms for wage increases.**

Shiller

- **If my pay went up I would feel more satisfaction in my job even if prices went up as much.**

Public: 49% agreed.

Economists: 90% disagreed.

Phillips Curve: Downward Sloping

- With such a norm, at higher inflation workers will not experience disappointment from receiving lower nominal wage increases than they think they should receive.
- At higher inflation, *all things equal*, wage bargains will result in lower real wages.
- That will reduce the relative price that the typical firm wants to set.
- And therefore it will raise the rate of sustainable employment.

Low Inflation/High Inflation

- **Low Inflation: Downward sloping Phillips Curve.**
- **High inflation: Upward sloping Phillips Curve.**
- **Reason for monetary vigilance.**

Prices

- **Prices are like wages.**
- **Strong evidence of price stickiness.**
- **Customers dislike price *increases*.**

VII. Rational Expectations Theory

- I will skip rational expectations.
- Piggy-backs on natural rate.

IX. Conclusion

- **Macroeconomics gives again and again examples where the substantive economics is changed by the presence of norms regarding how people think they should behave.**